REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

pinion Summar American

Department of State Do not remove,

Ho. 103

October 23. 1962

PRESIDENT'S BROADCAST ON GUBA

The Fracident's address on Cuban policy draws wide support. Press demand supports it. "Businessnon across the U.S. generally supported President Kennedy's quarantine on all shipments of offensive military equipment to Guba," the Wall Street Journal reports. The President that the complete unstinting support of america's organized workers," according to AFL-CIO President George Meany.

Political leaders of both parkies are reported as expressing supposed (c.g. former Presidents Trunca and Moover, Bichard Minon). Schator Mansfield told reporters that "the unanimous reaction of the combined leadership Lof Congress was to fully support the President." Sen. Galdwater (R-1riz.) and Rep. William E. Willer (R-H.Y.) held that the President's action was right, but belated. Sen. Scott (R-Pa.), holding that the President is "several months" late, asserted that nothing he said "will remove 5,000 Russians and a half million tons of military supplies from Suba."

The President took the course he had to take, some editors suggest. As the Baltimore Sun puts it, "the Soviet Union left us no choice." The Washington Post says the President "has made the insylvable response" to the Soviet preparation of missile sites in Cuba. The Philadelphia Inquirer concludes that the U.S. "is assing so have the poace while there is yet time for daving."

His actions are considered relatively restrained. "It is action not so drastic as many Americans would like to take," the New York Fixes comments, "but in our view it is drastic enough at the present time." The New York Herald Tribune characterizes it as "a minimal program for the defense of the Americas." The Mashington Post says: "The actions outlined by the President are not entreme ... Taken as a whole, the President's speech was not bellicose."

The coriousness of the President's move and its dangerous potenti-The cold war has entered a new phase, in which cautious maneuvering by the two huge nuclear powers is displaced by swift gambling for great stakes," a Wall Street Journal reporter writes. This reporter and Warren Rogers, Jr. (in W.Y. Herald Tribune) suggest that what happens next depends upon whether or not Khrushchev is willing to accept the loss of face involved in stopping the flow of materials to Cuba.

Public Opinion Studies Staff • Bureau of Public Affairs

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

- 2 -

PRECIDENTS PROADGAGE OU GURA (Overla.)

ellede there . The s

He speach is characterized by some conmentators as tough to.g. Tyle Wilson and Rick DuBrow (UPI), Bay Scherer (NDC)]. Wilson says that it takes the issue of Mennedy "indecisiveness" out of the political compaign.

The essence of the speech, eccording to Chalmers Roberts, was the U.S. "will not sit fally by" facing the equivalent of Wilher's march into the Ralmeland. "The chroat will be mot and to evoid war, war will be ricked" (in Mash. Post).

Personation that the draventine could have serious consequences is also reflected in editorial comment. "Any attempt of search and seigure on the high seas could create imments complications," the Philadelphia Inquirer varus. The Hew York Times cays that "the quarantine" is "a major step of the atmost gravity; and the American public must not be defined into thinking that it early not have the most corious consequences." The Lashington lost asserts that if "calemity" should follow the quarantine, "the responsibility will not his agent these shores." The Lashington Star warms that "up must be ready to face a future place high with paril," but aids that Thrushelm must, too.

the quarantine "must be regarded as an act of war," according to Seripps-Howard's Machington Hous; but "it is a proventive course best calculated to forestall large-scale hostilities."

Save tentrative aucationing about the bining of the President's 1844235 the raised by the Wall Street Journal and Divid Lawrence. Nore blurbly, Arthur Kreek asserts that "the timing...was a tentrivance of political and public relations art." He consider that "these remain legitimate issues between the liministration and its Cuben policy critics."

devipps-Howard's Peter Edson asserts that editors and neucoasters withouting a recent State Department briefing session were told nothing of the ominous developments in Cuba and were left "completely misinformed." "This experience," he concludes, "could well lead to discontinuance of all such mass indostrination costions in the future."